

# Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	9
<b>1.1 Topic and Research Interest: How does Informality Matter in Urban Planning?</b>	10
<b>1.2 Othering and Urban Planning: Urban Spaces In-Between the Self and the Other</b>	16
<b>1.3 Mexico City: Fragmented Urbanization</b>	19
<b>1.4 Socio-Political Inequalities and the Urban: Habitat, Property and Urban Informality</b>	24
1.4.1 A General Understanding of Socio-Political Inequality as Access to Goods	26
1.4.2 Inequality and Urban Space: The Right to the City	28
1.4.3 Performing Urban Informality in Claims to Habitat	33
<b>1.5 Outline of the Study</b>	35
<b>PART 1 – DECENTERING URBAN STUDIES: LEARNING FROM INSIGHTS IN OTHER CONTEXTS</b>	37
<b>2. Urbanity and Global Cities</b>	40
<b>2.1 Spaces of Modernization: Rethinking Global City in Contextual Specificity</b>	41
<b>2.2 De-Centering Urban Studies: Urban Studies as a Transnational Field</b>	50
2.2.1 From Universal to Relational Approaches to the Urban	55
2.2.2 Spatializing Otherness: Rethink the Multitemporal Heterogeneity of Urban Informality	60
2.2.3 The Study Object of Decentering: Emplacing Power at the Peripheries of Urban Forms	69
<b>2.3 Conclusions: The Double-Movement of Decentering</b>	70
<b>3. Heterotopia and Urban Planning</b>	73
<b>3.1 Emplacement: A Relational Account to Space, Place and Body</b>	75
<b>3.2 Operationalizing Emplacements: Subjectivity, Architecture and Urban Planning</b>	86

3.2.1	Heterotopology and Normalization	86
3.2.2	Heterotopology and Architecture	91
3.2.3	Heterotopology and Urban Planning	96
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Colonial Spatiality and Urban Forms</b>	<b>100</b>
3.3.1	Decentering Heterotopology: From Coloniality to Internal Colonialism	101
3.3.2	Applying Heterotopology: The Colonial Legacy of Transnational Urban Planning	103
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Conclusions: Entanglements, Internal Colonialism and Corporeality of Urban Forms</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>Studying Urban Informality as a Socio-Political Category in Urban Planning: The Approach of Doing Informality</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Urban Informality Beyond State-Centered Planning and Economic Liberalism</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>The Traveling of “Urban Informality”</b>	<b>117</b>
4.2.1	Urban Informality as Technical Category in Urban Developmentalism	118
4.2.2	Urban Informality and Marginalization	122
4.2.3	Informality as Mode of Space Production and its Colonial Legacy	127
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Doing (Urban) Informality and Heterotopic Analysis: Investigating Narratives of Distinction and Formation of Subject Positions</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Research Setting: Entangling Emplacements in Urban Configurations</b>	<b>137</b>
4.4.1	Urban Configuration 1: Emplacing Frontiers in the Historic Center	141
4.4.2	Urban Configuration 2: Emplacing Frontiers in Zona Poniente	142
4.4.3	Methods to Study Doing Informality: Problem Focused Interviews	145
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Conclusions: Decentering Urban Informality</b>	<b>150</b>

<b>PART 2 – ENTANGLING URBAN AREAS AND FORMS: PERIPHERALIZATION IN MEXICO CITY’S HISTORIC CENTER AND THE ZONA PONIENTE</b>	153
<b>5. The Making of the Historic Center</b>	156
<b>5.1 Spatializing Otherness in the Historic Center</b>	159
<b>5.2 The Invention of the Historic Center</b>	165
5.2.1 Gentrifiers’ Frontier	166
5.2.2 Antecedents of the Center’s “Revitalization”: Between Nation and Society	173
5.2.3 Between Diversity and Entrepreneurialism: Economics, Aesthetics and Ethics of Informality as Difference	177
5.2.4 Emplacing the Frontier: Formalization and the Criminalization of Informality	183
<b>5.3 Emplacing Claims to Habitat: Doing Informality in         Housing Struggles</b>	191
5.3.1 Contextualizing the Struggles in the Movimiento Urbano Popular	193
5.3.2 Emplacing Solidarity: República de Argentina 64 and Gendered/Ethnicized Alliances	195
5.3.3 Emplacing Distinction: Academia 33 and “Revitalization” as Opportunity	200
5.3.4 Emplacing the Rural-Urban Frontier: Mesones 138 and Strategic Indigeneity	207
<b>5.4 Conclusions</b>	212
<b>6. Beyond Privatopia: Doing Informality in Huixquilucan, Mexico City</b>	216
<b>6.1 Privatopia and the Gated Communities Debate in Local         Context</b>	222
<b>6.2 Urban Planning for Modernization in Mexico City:         The Case of Santa Fe</b>	228
<b>6.3 The Invention of Huixquilucan’s Territory – A Brief         Historical Account</b>	231
6.3.1 Early Urban Planning in “Huitzilquillocan”	231
6.3.2 Spatial Differentiation and Social Classes	233
6.3.3 Signifying Informality in Local Context	237

<b>6.4 Emplacing Privatopia: Doing Informality in Huixquilucan</b>	239
6.4.1 Emplacing Justification: Real Estate Developers and the Staging of Othering	244
6.4.2 Emplacing Distinction: Homeowner Associations and the Practice of Defense	251
6.4.3 Emplacing Privilege: Ambivalent Alliances and the Incorporation of Informality	258
6.4.4 Urban Configurations of Informality: Emplacing Justification, Distinction and Privilege	271
<b>6.5 Beyond Privatopia: Othering and the Colonial Gesture of Privilege</b>	273
<b>6.6 Conclusions</b>	283
<b>7. From Performing Urban Informality to Decentering Urban Studies</b>	288
<b>7.1 Informality as an Empty Signifier</b>	288
<b>7.2 Recalling the Argumentative Steps</b>	291
<b>7.3 Entangling Urban Emplacements</b>	294
7.3.1 Emplacements of Othering and the Heterogeneous Uses of Urban Informality in Social Interaction	295
7.3.2 Urban Socio-Political Inequalities and the Interdependency of Urban Configurations	298
7.3.3 Learning from the Narratives of Distinction	301
<b>7.4 Seeing Like the Urban: Informality Matters</b>	304
<b>8. Bibliography</b>	309
<b>APPENDICES</b>	345
<b>Appendix 1: Habitat Social (Image)</b>	346
<b>Appendix 2: List of Conducted Interviews</b>	347
<b>Appendix 3: Questionnaire for Homeowner Associations' Presidents and Other Involved Planning Actors</b>	349
<b>Appendix 4: Questionnaire for Residents</b>	351
<b>Appendix 5: Abbreviations</b>	352
<b>Appendix 6: Abstract (short version)</b>	354
<b>Danksagung</b>	355